

United States Patent and Trademark Office

 $\sqrt{}$

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,669	05/19/2005	Shinnosuke Torii	03500.018238	7661
5514 FIT7PATRICK	7590 11/27/2007		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		·	AGUSTIN, PETER VINCENT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
		•	·	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	11/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/535,669	TORII, SHINNOSUKE	
Examiner	Art Unit	
P. Agustin	2627	

	P. Agustin	2627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 15 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date						
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	n the final rejection, which date of the final rejection	chever is later. In n.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07().					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ite extension fee e action; or (2) as			
	Server with OF OFD 44 OF word by					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a			
AMENDMENTS	,					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		npliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>3</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	before or on the date of filing a No sufficient reasons why the affidavit	tice of Appeal will <u>not</u> or other evidence is	be entered necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after en	try is below or attache	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	e because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
	•	/William Korzuch/ SPE, Art Unit 2627				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments filed November 15, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- (a) In response to applicant's argument on page 6, last paragraph that Okamoto does not teach or suggest providing an objective lens that retracts into a position opposite to a thin bridge part of the disc cartridge, the applicant is directed to the abstract, which teaches retracting an objective lens by means of a driving means which "vertically moves" the objective lens against an optical disk, i.e., retracting the objective lens into a position opposite to the thin bridge part.
- (b) In response to applicant's argument on page 6, last paragraph that element 40 in Okamoto relates to a metal hub (not an aperture), and an aperture is denoted by element 33, this does not change the fact the Okamoto discloses the claimed aperture, i.e., element 33, as pointed out by the applicant.
- (c) In response to applicant's argument on page 6, last paragraph that the objective lens of Okamoto retracts proximate to the aperture 33 and not the thin bridge part, see items (a) & (b) above. Furthermore, this argument is directed to features that are not claimed.